

*Referanser til artikkel «Vitenskapelig publisering ved et veiskille.*

*Hvor går veien videre?»*

*Forfatter Steinar Risnes, professor emeritus, UiO*

Beall's list of predatory journals and publishers. <https://beallslist.weebly.com/>

Bergstrom CT, West J. (2017). Research tools. How do you know a paper is legit? *Calling Bullshit*. [http://callingbullshit.org/tools/tools\\_legit.html](http://callingbullshit.org/tools/tools_legit.html)

Birukou A, Wakeling JR, Bartolini C, Casati F, Marchese M, Mirylenka K, Osman N, Ragone A, Sierra C, Wassef A. (2011). Alternatives to Peer Review: Novel Approaches for Research Evaluation. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience*. 5, 56. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3389/fncom.2011.00056>.

Buranyi, S. (2017, 27. juni). Is the staggeringly profitable business of scientific publishing bad for science? *The Guardian*. <https://www.theguardian.com/science/2017/jun/27/profitable-business-scientific-publishing-bad-for-science>

Directory of open access journals. <https://doaj.org/>

DOI. <https://www.doi.org>

Europeisk offensiv for åpen tilgang til forskning (2018).

[https://www.forskningsradet.no/no/Nyheter/Europeisk\\_offensiv\\_for\\_åpen\\_tilgang\\_til\\_forskning/1254036638687?WT.mc\\_id=nyhetsbrev-ForskningsradetNorsk](https://www.forskningsradet.no/no/Nyheter/Europeisk_offensiv_for_åpen_tilgang_til_forskning/1254036638687?WT.mc_id=nyhetsbrev-ForskningsradetNorsk)

Faulkes Z. (2018). Stinging the predators. A collection of papers that should never have been published. Version 6. *Figshare*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.5248264.v6>.

Fyfe A, Coate K, Curry S, Lawson S, Moxham N, Røstvik CM. (2017). Untangling academic publishing: A history of the relationship between commercial interests, academic prestige and the circulation of research. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.546100>.

Ioannidis JPA, Boyack KW, Klavans R. (2014). Estimates of the continuously publishing core in the scientific workforce. *PLoS ONE* 9 (7): e101698. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0101698>.

Kjelsberg, R. (2018). Tidsskriftrøverne – hvordan kan vi sette vitenskapen fri i tellekantenes og informasjonsteknologiens tid? *Forskningspolitikk* (nr. 1), s. 14-15.

Knoepfler P. (2015). Reviewing post-publication peer review. *Trends in Genetics* 31 (5): 221-223. DOI: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tig.2015.03.006>. Tilgjengelig fra: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4472664/>.

- Lahti L. (2016, 10. juni). Scientific journal subscription costs in Finland 2010–2015: a preliminary analysis. *rOpenGov*. <http://ropengov.github.io/r/2016/06/10/FOI/>
- Larsen PO, von Ins M. (2010). The rate of growth in scientific publication and the decline in coverage provided by Science Citation Index. *Scientometrics* 84 (3), s. 575-603. DOI: 10.1007/s11192-010-0202-z.
- Lozano GA. (2013). The elephant in the room: multi-authorship and the assessment of individual researchers. *Current Science* 105 (4), s. 443-445.
- Lundgaard H, Strøm T. (2018a, 17. august). Forskningsbløffen. *A-magasinet*, s. 14-23.
- Lundgaard H, Strøm T. (2018b, 18. august). Norske forskere lurt i fellen. *Aftenposten*, s. 4.
- MacDonald F. (2016, 19. august). 8 scientific papers that were rejected before going on to win a Nobel prize. *Science Alert*. <https://www.sciencealert.com/these-8-papers-were-rejected-before-going-on-to-win-the-nobel-prize>
- Morrison H. (2013). Economics of scholarly communication in transition. *First Monday* 18 (6). DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5210/fm.v18i6.4370>.
- Nasjonale retningslinjer for åpen tilgang til forskningsresultater. (2016). Open Access 2020. <https://oa2020.org/>
- Plume A, van Weijen D. (2014). Publish or perish? The rise of the fractional author. *Research Trends* (38). <https://www.researchtrends.com/issue-38-september-2014/publish-or-perish-the-rise-of-the-fractional-author/>
- Porter S. (2012, 9. januar). How much does it cost to get a scientific paper? *Digital World Biology*. <https://digitalworldbiology.com/archive/how-much-does-it-cost-get-scientific-paper>
- Røstvik CM. (2017). Publisering, penger og prestisje. Historien om hvordan akademisk publisering ble kommersialisert. *Nytt Norsk Tidsskrift* 34 (3), s. 301-309. DOI: 10.18261/issn.1504-3053-2017-03-07.
- San Francisco Declaration on Research Assessment (2012). <https://sfdora.org/read/>
- Schimmer R, Geschuhn KK, Vogler A. (2015). Disrupting the subscription journals' business model for the necessary large-scale transformation to open access. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.17617/1.3>.
- Schmitt J. (2015a, 21. februar). Academic journals: The most profitable obsolete technology in history. *Huffpost*. <https://www.huffingtonpost.com/jason-schmitt/academic-journals-the-most-profitable-obsolete-technology-in-history>
- Schmitt J. (2015b, 22. desember). Can't Disrupt This: Elsevier and the 25.2 Billion Dollar A Year Academic Publishing Business. *Medium*. <https://medium.com/@jasonschochmitt/can-t-disrupt-this-elsevier-and-the-252-billion-dollar-a-year-academic-publishing-business>

disrupt-this-elsevier-and-the-25-2-billion-dollar-a-year-academic-publishing-business-aa3b9618d40a

Sci-Hub (se Wikipedia). <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Sci-Hub>

Smith R. (2006). Peer review: a flawed process at the heart of science and journals. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* 99 (4), s. 178–182.

<http://journals.sagepub.com/doi/pdf/10.1177/014107680609900414>

Ware M, Mabe M. (2015). The STM Report: An overview of scientific and scholarly publishing. 4<sup>th</sup> ed. <https://www.stm-assoc.org/about-the-industry/stm-report-2015/>